

**Meeting of the Licensing Act 2003 Sub-Committee
Held at the Town Hall, Peterborough on 21 March 2014**

RECORD OF DECISION

1. Apologies for Absence	There were no apologies for absence received.
2. Declarations of Interest	There were no declarations of interest.
3. Application	Personal Licence
3.1 Application Reference	MAU : 068881
3.2 Sub-Committee Members	Councillor Thacker (Chairman) Councillor Saltmarsh Councillor Peach
3.3 Officers	Darren Dolby , Regulatory Officer – Licensing Nigel Joseph, Lawyer – Legal Advisor to the Sub-Committee Karen S Dunleavy, Governance Officer – Clerk to the Sub-Committee
3.4 Applicant	Mr. Vakkas Ciftepala
3.5 Nature of Application	<p><u>Application Type</u></p> <p>Personal Licence</p> <p><u>Summary of Review Application</u></p> <p>In accordance with Section 51 of the Licensing Act 2003, following the submission of an application for a Personal Licence and following declaration of a criminal conviction, an objection was received from the Responsible Authority – the Constabulary. The Licensing Authority was required to hold a hearing.</p> <p>A summary of the issues raised within the representations included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant had declared a criminal conviction and following an objection from the constabulary, his application required reviewing.
3.6 Licensing Objective(s) under which representations were made	1. The Prevention of Crime and Disorder
3.7 Parties/Representatives and witnesses present	<p><u>Applicant/Representative</u></p> <p>Mr Vakkas Ciftepala, who was present as the Applicant</p> <p>Mr. Langford Smith, who presented the case on behalf of the Applicant Mr. Vakkas Ciftepala.</p> <p><u>Responsible Authorities</u></p>

	PC Grahame Robinson, who was present on behalf of Cambridgeshire Constabulary.
3.8 Pre-hearing considerations and any decisions taken by the Sub-Committee relating to ancillary matters	There were no pre-hearing considerations or decisions taken by the sub-committee relating to ancillary matters.
3.9 Oral representations	<p><u>Applicant / Responsible Authority</u></p> <p>Mr. Langford Smith addressed the Sub-Committee. The key points raised during his address, and following questions from the Sub-Committee were as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The applicant wished to withdraw his application and reapply at a time when his criminal convictions would not be considered.
4. Decision	As the applicant wished for his application to be withdrawn, the Chairman declared the meeting closed.

Chairman
1:30 – 1:37pm